## Informal Joint Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee



Forest Heath District Council

Title of Report:	External Quality Assessment of Internal Audit against the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards PAS/FH/17/030				
	FAS/11/1/	050			
Report to and date:	Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee	29 November 2017			
Portfolio holder:	Stephen Edwards Portfolio Holder for Resources and Performance Tel: 01799 530325 Email: <u>stephen.edwards@forest-heath.gov.uk</u>				
Lead officer:	Jon Snares Service Manager (Internal Audit) Tel: 01284 757239 Email: jon.snares@westsuffolk.gov.uk				
Purpose of report:	This report advises Members of the relevant requirements in respect of an external quality assessment of internal audit against the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards. The report covers the form that the assessment will take, how the assessor was chosen, what the assessment involves, what it will tell us, and when the results of the assessment will be reported.				
Recommendation:	Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee:				
	It is recommended that Members <b><u>note</u></b> the contents of this report.				

Key Decision:	Ic this a	Kov Da	cicion an	d, if so, ur	dor which	
	definition		CISION an	u, 11 S0, ui		
(Check the appropriate Yes, it is a Key			Decision	- 🗆		
box and delete all those		ey Decisi				
that <u>do not</u> apply.)						
Consultation: • Th		• The	e form of the external assessment was			
		agreed in consultation with the Assistant				
		Director Resources and Performance (as				
		S15	51 Officer	·).		
Alternative option	(s):	• N/A	١			
Implications:				<u>.                                    </u>		
Are there any <b>financial</b> implications		ons?	Yes 🛛	No 🗆		
If yes, please give details			Lowest cost provider chosen to			
		2	perform external assessment Yes □ No ⊠			
-	Are there any <b>staffing</b> implications?			No 🖂		
If yes, please give d		TE				
Are there any <b>ICT</b> in	•	ſſ	Yes 🗆 No 🖂			
yes, please give deta						
Are there any <b>legal</b>		су	Yes 🗆 No 🗵			
<i>implications? If yes, details</i>	please give		•			
Are there any <b>equal</b>	ity implicatio	2002	Yes 🗆	No 🖂		
If yes, please give d		)115 !				
, , ,		•	(notential	hazards or o	opportunities affecting	
Risk/opportunity assessment:			corporate, service or project objectives)			
Risk area Inherent le		el of	Controls		Residual risk (after	
	risk (before				controls)	
	•				controlsy	
	controls)		Annual in	iternal self-	,	
	•		Annual in assessme	iternal self- nts are	Low	
The internal audit service may not be operating in	controls)		assessme undertake	nts are en to assess	,	
The internal audit service may not be operating in accordance with the	controls)		assessme undertake conforma	nts are en to assess nce with	,	
The internal audit service may not be operating in accordance with the Public Sector Internal	controls)		assessme undertake conforma the Pub	nts are en to assess nce with	,	
The internal audit service may not be operating in accordance with the	controls)		assessme undertake conforma the Pub Internal	nts are en to assess nce with olic Sector	,	
The internal audit service may not be operating in accordance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards, which may in turn reduce the	controls)		assessme undertake conformat the Pub Internal Standards results of	nts are en to assess nce with lic Sector Audit s, high level f which are	,	
The internal audit service may not be operating in accordance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards, which may in turn reduce the effectiveness of the	controls)		assessme undertake conformat the Pub Internal Standards results of reported	nts are en to assess nce with lic Sector Audit s, high level f which are to	,	
The internal audit service may not be operating in accordance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards, which may in turn reduce the	controls)		assessme undertake conformat the Pub Internal Standards results of reported Performar	nts are en to assess nce with lic Sector Audit s, high level f which are to nce and	,	
The internal audit service may not be operating in accordance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards, which may in turn reduce the effectiveness of the	controls)		assessme undertake conformat the Pub Internal Standards results of reported	nts are en to assess nce with lic Sector Audit s, high level f which are to nce and Scrutiny	,	
The internal audit service may not be operating in accordance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards, which may in turn reduce the effectiveness of the	controls)		assessme undertake conformat the Pub Internal Standards results of reported Performar Audit	nts are en to assess nce with lic Sector Audit s, high level f which are to nce and Scrutiny	,	
The internal audit service may not be operating in accordance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards, which may in turn reduce the effectiveness of the	controls)		assessme undertake conformat the Pub Internal Standards results of reported Performar Audit	nts are en to assess nce with lic Sector Audit s, high level f which are to nce and Scrutiny	,	
The internal audit service may not be operating in accordance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards, which may in turn reduce the effectiveness of the service.	controls)		assessme undertake conformat the Pub Internal Standards results of reported Performat Audit Committe	nts are en to assess nce with lic Sector Audit s, high level f which are to nce and Scrutiny	,	
The internal audit service may not be operating in accordance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards, which may in turn reduce the effectiveness of the	controls)		assessme undertake conformat the Pub Internal Standards results of reported Performar Audit	nts are en to assess nce with lic Sector Audit s, high level f which are to nce and Scrutiny	,	
The internal audit service may not be operating in accordance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards, which may in turn reduce the effectiveness of the service.	<u>controls)</u> Low		assessme undertake conformat the Pub Internal Standards results of reported Performat Audit Committe	nts are en to assess nce with lic Sector Audit s, high level f which are to nce and Scrutiny	,	
The internal audit service may not be operating in accordance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards, which may in turn reduce the effectiveness of the service. Ward(s) affected: Background paper	controls) Low S:		assessme undertake conformat the Pub Internal Standards results of reported Performat Audit Committe	nts are en to assess nce with lic Sector Audit s, high level f which are to nce and Scrutiny re.	Low	
The internal audit service may not be operating in accordance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards, which may in turn reduce the effectiveness of the service.	controls) Low S:		assessme undertake conforman the Pub Internal Standards results of reported Performan Audit Committe N/A N/A	nts are en to assess nce with lic Sector Audit s, high level f which are to nce and Scrutiny re.	Low	
The internal audit service may not be operating in accordance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards, which may in turn reduce the effectiveness of the service. Ward(s) affected: Background paper	controls) Low S:		assessme undertake conformat the Pub Internal Standards results of reported Performat Audit Committe N/A N/A N/A	nts are en to assess nce with lic Sector Audit s, high level f which are to nce and Scrutiny re.	Low	
The internal audit service may not be operating in accordance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards, which may in turn reduce the effectiveness of the service. Ward(s) affected: Background paper	controls) Low S:		assessme undertake conformat the Pub Internal Standards results of reported Performat Audit Committe N/A N/A N/A	nts are en to assess nce with lic Sector Audit s, high level f which are to nce and Scrutiny re. <b>lix A</b> – Ext nent of Inte lic Sector I	Low Low cernal Quality ernal Audit against	

## **1.** Key issues and reasons for recommendation

## 1.1 Background Information

- 1.1.1 Internal audit within the public sector in the United Kingdom is governed by the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards (the 'Standards'), which have been in place since April 2013 (revised April 2013 and April 2017). The objectives of the Standards are to:
  - define the nature of internal auditing within the UK public sector
  - set basic principles for carrying out internal audit in the UK public sector
  - establish a framework for providing internal audit services, which add value to the organisation, leading to improved organisational processes and operations, and
  - establish the basis for the evaluation of internal audit performance and to drive improvement planning
- 1.1.2 The Standards require that, in order to independently assess conformance with these Standards, an external assessment of the internal audit function must be conducted at least once every five years, and the first such assessment must be completed by April 2018.
- 1.1.3 The purpose of this report is to inform Members regarding:
  - requirements of the Standards in respect of the external assessment of the internal audit function, including the form that the assessment will take and how the assessor was chosen;
  - what the assessment involves; and
  - what the assessment will tell us, and when and how the results of the assessment will be reported.